**CLEVEDON TOWN COUNCIL**  
RESPONSE TO NSC DRAFT CHARGING SCHEDULE

**QUESTION 1:** in line with the legal tests, do you think the CIL rates proposed in the Draft Charging Schedule at Appendix A strike an appropriate balance between:
- The desirability of funding from CIL (in whole or in part) the actual and expected estimated total cost of infrastructure required to support the development of the North Somerset area, taking into account other actual and expected sources of funding; and
- The potential effects (taken as a whole) of the imposition of CIL on the economic viability of development across North Somerset?

**RESOLVED:** Agree that the proposed CIL rates do strike an appropriate balance.

**QUESTION 2:** do you agree with North Somerset’s proposal not to introduce a policy for phasing of CIL payments? What are your reasons?

**RESOLVED:** In no doubt that the CIL payment should be agreed at the outset and paid within the 60 day deadline and that this should be enforced.

**QUESTION 3:** do you agree with North Somerset’s proposal not to introduce a discretionary charitable relief policy? What are your reasons?

**RESOLVED:** Agree that a discretionary charitable relief policy should not be introduced as this would complicate the charging structure.

**QUESTION 4:** do you agree with North Somerset’s proposal not to introduce an exceptional circumstances relief policy? What are your reasons?

**RESOLVED:** Agree. Fully support that there should be no exceptional circumstances.

**QUESTION 5:** do you think the draft Regulation 123 List provides a clear understanding of the infrastructure that might be funded through the CIL?

**RESOLVED:** Yes.

**QUESTION 6:** Do you think the draft Regulation 123 List strikes the right balance between CIL contributions and Section 106 planning obligations?

**RESOLVED:** Yes.

Isabel E Johnson  
Deputy Town Clerk